Lesson 3 2-phase xenon detectors for WIMP search

Alexandre Lindote, 15th March 2024



Outline

Lesson 1: Evidence and Candidates

• Evidence

- First hints
- More evidence
- The Big Picture

DM Candidates

- Candidates
- The WIMP paradigm
- Supersymmetry
- Our Dark Milky Way
 - The Standard Halo Model

Outline

Lesson 2: Detection Methods

- Production in colliders
- Indirect detection
- Direct detection
 - Principles
 - Kinematics and expected rates
 - Nucleon scattering cross sections
 - Modulation and directionality
 - Expected WIMP signal and background sources

Direct detection technologies

- Cryogenic experiments
- Room temperature detectors
- Liquid noble element experiments



Lesson 3: Direct detection

2-phase xenon TPC experiments

Detection technologies The technological landscape



Interactions produce:

- ▶light
- charge (electrons and ions)
- ▶heat

Energy distribution between the 3 channels depends on the type of interaction (ERs/NRs)



Will focus on xenon TPCs, but argon based are similar

Ionisation Detectors

Targets: Ge, Si, CS₂, CdTe

Light & Ionisation

ArDM, Argo, LUX, WARP, DarkSide, DARWIN, Panda-X, XENON, ZEPLIN, LZ cold (LN_2)

Scintillators

Targets: NaI, Xe, Ar ANAIS, MiniCLEAN, DAMA, ZEPLIN-I, DEAP-3600, DM-ICE, KIMS, LIBRA, PICOLON, NAIAD, SABRE, XMASS



Heat & Ionisation Bolometers

Targets: Ge,Si CDMS, EDELWEISS, SuperCDMS cryogenic (<50 mK)

Bolometers

Targets: Ge, Si, Al₂O₃, TeO₂ CRESST-I, CUORE, CUORICINO

Bubbles & Droplets

CF₃Br, CF₃I, C₃F₈, C₄F₁₀ COUPP, PICASSO, PICO, SIMPLE

Measuring two channels simultaneously allows for discrimination between ERs (backgrounds) and NRs

Light & Heat Bolometers

Targets: CaWO₄, BGO, Al₂O₃

CRESST, ROSEBUD

cryogenic (<50 mK)



Detection technologies The effect of new technologies



Even faster than the computing power evolution: a factor of 10 every 5 years



Operation principles



2-Phase Xenon TPCs Working principle



- TPC \rightarrow Time Projection Chamber
- A volume of liquid topped by a layer of gas ~(-100 C, 1.5 bar)
- An electric field is applied in the liquid, to drift ionisation electrons to the gas
- A stronger electric field is applied in the gas, to extract electrons and accelerate them

2-Phase Xenon TPCs Working principle



- Each particle interaction produces two signals:
 - S1 prompt scintillation light in the liquid
 - S2 electroluminescence in the gas (much larger than S1)
- These signals are observed by one or two light sensor arrays
- From these 2 signals we get:
 - energy of the interaction
 - 3D position reconstruction
 - Nuclear/electron recoil discrimination

2-Phase Xenon TPCs Interactions in a xenon TPC

WIMP Signals in a Dual-Phase Xenon Detector



2-Phase Xenon TPCs Example event — from the LUX detector



H. Araújo

2-Phase Xenon TPCs Energy reconstruction

Electron Recoil



Nuclear Recoil

$$E_{\text{total}} = W \cdot (n_e \cdot$$





$$(+n_{\gamma}) = W \cdot \left(\frac{S1}{g_1} + \frac{S2}{g_2}\right)$$

In NRs a larger fraction of the energy goes to the heat channel — a "quenching" factor must be applied



2-Phase Xenon TPCs 3D position reconstruction

- **Z position:** obtained from the time difference between the 2 signals $\sim mm$ (electron cloud drift time x drift speed)
- **XY position:** from the light distribution in the top array $\sim cm$
 - Simple centroid
 - Minimisation with light distribution functions
 - Machine learning, etc.







Real examples



ZEPLIN-II — first 2-phase xenon TPC used for WIMP search

2-Phase Xenon TPCs Real examples — ZEPLIN-III (2008-2011)

- The second for an and the second the second
 - Active volume with 12 kg of low background LXe (40 yr old - low Kr)
 - Open plan with no surfaces

 (fiducial volume from position
 reconstruction)
 - High field, improved discrimination
 - Construction in oxygen free Cu (electron beam welded)
 - 31 2" PMTs (QE ~ 30%) in the liquid:

0

improved primary light collection



2-Phase Xenon TPCs Real examples — ZEPLIN-III (2008-2011)



Installed in the Boulby lab (UK), 1100 m deep

12

31 PMTs



12 kg of liquid xenon





2-Phase Xenon TPCs Real examples — the LUX detector (2013-2016)



370 kg of liquid xenon



All inner surfaces in PTFE



17

122 PMTs





Installed at SURF (USA), 1500 m deep



2-Phase Xenon TPCs Another example — the LUX-ZEPLIN detector

- 7 tonnes of active mass
 - 10 tonnes total Xe
 - 1.5 m diameter \times 1.5 m height
- 494 3" PMTs
- All inner surfaces in PTFE





Also installed at SURF (USA), 1500 m deep



What happens to old detectors? **Golden retirement**

ZEPLIN-III on display at the Whitby Museum



LUX in exhibition at the Homestake Visitor's Center

LUX decommissioning (end of 2016)







Advantages for WIMP detection

SAMSUNG

With the examples of the LUX and LZ detectors



Background reduction strategies Underground deployment

Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF)

- Deepest gold mine in North America (1.5 km and 2.5 km levels)
- Abandoned due to the lower gold price at the end of the 1990's
- Bought by the state of South Dakota for a symbolic amount
- A millionaire (D. Sanford) donated \$80M to convert it for science!





Lead, SD



Background reduction strategies Underground deployment



Temporary Clean Room (Copper electroforming) Open January 2011

- 1478 m deep (4.2 km w.e., $10^7 \mu$ flux reduction)
 - 1 muon / hand surface / 3 months
- Same cavern where Ray Davis installed his Solar Neutrino Experiment in the 1960's



Background reduction strategies Underground deployment



1226

4.200

A

LUX detector inside the water tank

Installing the inner cryostat of the LZ detector



- Example: the LZ detector uses three veto systems
 - The water tank detects muon via Cherenkov light
 - A liquid scintillator outer detector (17 tonnes)
 - Loaded with Gd (high cross section for neutron capture)
 - A liquid xenon "skin" (2 tonnes)





- The LZ xenon "skin"
- 2 t of LXe surrounding the TPC
- Optically isolated from the TPC
- Instrumented with 1" (side) and 2" (bottom) PMTs
- All surfaces covered in PTFE to maximize light collection







- The LZ outer detector
- Suppress neutron induced background
- 17 t Gd-loaded liquid scintillator in acrylic tanks
- Surrounded by 120 8" PMTs
- ~8 MeV γ -rays following neutron capture
- 95% design efficiency for tagging neutrons





28





Advantages for WIMP detection Scalability – same technology

15 years ago...





LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) **10 tonnes** Large target mass → Increased interaction probability

ZEPLIN-II 32 kg

Now

Future (2028 -)



Next generation detector 40-80 tons

Advantages for WIMP detection Interaction rate and recoil spectrum

Expected WIMP recoil spectra: $\sigma_A^{s_I}$

Sensitivity is mostly at <u>low energies</u>



High A \rightarrow Increased interaction rate

$$(q \to 0) = \frac{4\mu_A^2}{\pi} [Zf_p + (A - Z)f_n]^2 \approx \frac{\mu_A^2}{\mu_p^2} \sigma_p A^2$$

Advantages for WIMP detection Low threshold

- The energy threshold is driven by the scintillation (S1) signal — can be as low as 2 photons detected!
 - Each event must contain an S1 and an S2
- Strategy: cover all the inner surfaces with highly reflective PTFE (R>97%)
- Light sensors (PMTs) can detect single photons $(Q_{eff} \sim 30\%)$ – NR efficiency
- Detection efficiency: ~10% @ 2 keV_{nr} ~50% @ 3 keVnr













Advantages for WIMP detection No intrinsic backgrounds

- No short lived radioactive isotopes \rightarrow no intrinsic backgrounds!
 - excellent for rare search experiments (DM, neutrinos, rare nuclear decays)
- Very long lived decays with physical interest on their own
 - ¹³⁶Xe ($2\nu\beta\beta$) has a half-life of 10²¹ years
 - compare with the age of the Universe $(10^{10} \text{ yr})!$
 - Possible to search for the neutrino less mode too $(0\nu\beta\beta)$
 - Never observed, $T_{1/2} > 10^{26}$ yr \bullet
 - Beyond the SM physics: \bullet
 - Majorana nature of the neutrinos (own anti-particle) ullet
 - Neutrino mass hierarchy \bullet
 - Leptonic contribution to the observed matter/anti-matter asymmetry
 - 124 Xe (2 ν 2EC), half-life of 10 22 years test nuclear models







Advantages for WIMP detection Fiducialization

- A (very useful) side effect of self-shielding
 - Most of the background interactions occur in the outer regions of the detector
 - Using 3D position reconstruction, we can define an inner region with lower background rate



(right) excluding events with coincident signals in the vetoes

Advantages for WIMP detection ER/NR discrimination $(S2/S1)_{ER} > (S2/S1)_{WIMP}$



• Electron recoils: γ , β , ν

(interactions with the atomic electrons)

Nuclear recoils: WIMPs, neutrons, v
 (interactions with the nucleus)

Larger energy fraction converted to heat (**undetected**)

Lower charge/light ratio



Advantages for WIMP detection ER/NR discrimination $(S2/S1)_{ER} > (S2/S1)_{WIMP}$



• Electron recoils: γ , β , ν

(interactions with the atomic electrons)

Nuclear recoils: WIMPs, neutrons, v
 (interactions with the nucleus)

Larger energy fraction converted to heat (**undetected**)

Lower charge/light ratio



>99.8% ER discrimination >500x reduction in ER background

Projections **Background model**



Reduction of the external gamma background with successive analysis cuts

Projections **Background model**

• Example for LZ, after all BG mitigation strategies (except discrimination of ERs)

Note the difference in the rate scales!



Effect of applying successive analysis cuts





Projections Projected backgrounds of the LZ detector



5.66 events after 99.5% ER discrimination



0.52 events after 50% NR acceptance

Results

LZ started operating at the end of 2021 (24/12/21) Observed backgrounds — low energy (arXiv:2211.17120)





| Pre-DD Fit | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| $[\mu Bq/kg]$ | | | |
| 92.88 ± 0.38 | | | |
| 18.87 ± 0.13 | | | |
| 4.91 ± 0.23 | | | |
| 2.01 ± 0.11 | | | |
| - | | | |
| 3.05 ± 0.12 | | | |
| 0.13 ± 0.01 | | | |
| 3.89 ± 0.18 | | | |
| $(4.21\pm0.42){\cdot}10^{-2}$ | | | |

Results

LZ started operating at the end of 2021 (24/12/21)

• Observed backgrounds — high energy (arXiv:2211.17120)



Projections **Discrimination plot**



• Expected science data from the LZ detector, after a simulated 1000 day exposure



Results

LZ started operating at the end of 2021 (24/12/21)

• Observed data during 90-day science run



Analysis Statistical analysis strategies

- Approach 1 (older publications):
 - define region of interest (ROI) for WIMP search
 - estimate BG counts in ROI from BG model
 - compare observed with expected
 - determine statistical significance (if observed compatible with BG or not)



Analysis Statistical analysis strategies

- Approach 1 (older publications):
 - define region of interest (ROI) for WIMP search
 - estimate BG counts in ROI from BG model
 - compare observed with expected
 - determine statistical significance (if observed compatible with BG or not)



Approach 2:

- select set of observables (S1, S2, R, Z)
- fully characterise BG populations in those observables
- develop signal model
- apply a Profile Likelihood Ratio statistical analysis to the observed data



Results LZ started operating at the end of 2021 (24/12/21)



| | Source | Expected Events | Fit Result |
|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| | β decays + Det. ER | 215 ± 36 | 222 ± 16 |
| | $ u { m ER}$ | 27.1 ± 1.6 | 27.2 ± 1.6 |
| | $^{127}\mathrm{Xe}$ | 9.2 ± 0.8 | 9.3 ± 0.8 |
| | 124 Xe | 5.0 ± 1.4 | 5.2 ± 1.4 |
| - | ¹³⁶ Xe | 15.1 ± 2.4 | 15.2 ± 2.4 |
| - | $^{8}\mathrm{B}~\mathrm{CE}\nu\mathrm{NS}$ | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 0.15 ± 0.01 |
| | Accidentals | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 1.2 ± 0.3 |
| | Subtotal | 273 ± 36 | 280 ± 16 |
| | $^{37}\mathrm{Ar}$ | [0, 288] | $52.5_{-8.9}^{+9.6}$ |
| | Detector neutrons | $0.0^{+0.2}$ | $0.0^{+0.2}$ |
| | $30 \mathrm{GeV/c^2}$ WIMP | | $0.0^{+0.6}$ |
| | Total | | 333 ± 17 |

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2207.03764.pdf

 10^{4}



Projections Exclusion curve





The Future 40 – 80 tonne detector

Goal is to cover the remaining phase space down to the neutrino floor



The Future 40 – 80 tonne detector



What happens when we "hit the floor"?



Dark Matter Direct Detection on the Moon

Andréa Gaspert,^{1,*} Pietro Giampa,^{2,†} Navin McGinnis,^{2,‡} and David E. Morrissey^{2,§}

¹Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA ² TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 2A3, Canada

Direct searches for dark matter with large-scale noble liquid detectors have become sensitive enough to detect the coherent scattering of local neutrinos. This will become a very challenging background to dark matter discovery in planned future detectors. For dark matter with mass above 10 GeV, the dominant neutrino backgrounds on the Earth are atmospheric neutrinos created by cosmic ray collisions with the atmosphere. In contrast, the Moon has almost no atmosphere and nearly all cosmic rays incident on the Moon first collide with the lunar surface, producing a very different neutrino spectrum. In this work we estimate the total flux and spectrum of neutrinos near the surface of the Moon. We then use this to show that a large-scale liquid xenon or argon detector located on the Moon could potentially have significantly greater sensitivity to dark matter compared to an equivalent detector on the Earth due to effectively reduced neutrino backgrounds.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.04943

Conclusions

- Multi-tonne noble liquid detectors are currently the best technology to search for WIMPs in the 10 GeV — 100 TeV mass range
- Experiments starting to run now will be able to improve existing results by more than an order of magnitude
- The next generation of such experiments, with target masses approaching 100 tonnes, will be able to cover the remaining parameter space down to the neutrino floor
- They will be sensitive enough to be competitive in other physics studies (e.g. search for the BSM process $0\nu 2\beta$, study neutrino properties, etc.)



Bibliography

- arxiv.org/abs/1605.04909, https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.045002
- "Particle Dark Matter", editor Gianfranco Bertone, Cambridge University Press, August 2010, ISBN: 9780511770739, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511770739.
- nuclear recoils", by J.D. Lewin and P.F. Smith, Astroparticle Physics 6 (1996)
- "TASI lectures on dark matter models and direct detection", by Tongyan Lin, arXiv:1904.07915.
- 72/material/0/0.pdf
- "Direct Dark Matter Detection", Henrique Araújo, IDPSC School 2021, https://indico.lip.pt/event/643/

• "A History of Dark Matter", by Gianfranco Bertone and Dan Hooper, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 45002 (2018), https://

"Review of mathematics, numerical factor and corrections for dark matter experiments based on elastic

"Lectures on Dark Matter Physics", Mariangela Lisanti, http://indico.ictp.it/event/7624/session/16/contribution/

• "Dark Matter Searches", Laura Baudis, BND School 2009, <u>http://bnd-graduateschool.org/2009/?Lecture_Notes</u>



