
H->bb Note Plans for Summer



Ricardo Gonçalo (RHUL) HSG5 H->bb weekly meeting, 28 June 2011

News! News! News!

- About 1.2 fb⁻¹ collected with stable beams so far (1.27 fb⁻¹ delivered)
- Peak lumi stable at around 1.26x10³³cm⁻²s⁻¹
- 30 50pb⁻¹ per day (peak so far was 60pb⁻¹)
- 1318 colliding bunches




Ricardo Gonçalo

News! News! News!

H -> WW -> lvlv excess


- Excess in data in all channels being investigated
 - See Magda Cheltowska's talk last week:<u>https://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribl</u> <u>d=7&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=143469</u>
- Cross checks between several analyses, event scans, the works
- Open issues:
 - Problem with the top background
 - Wrong tau polarization in MC
 - Mismodeling of the low pT electrons




News! News! News!

Statistics Forum

- All ATLAS results for summer conferences should be made using CLs
- (All except analyses with a Bayesian history and Bayesian usage in CMS)



WH/ZH Note



- Second meeting with the editorial board last week
 - Next meeting right after weekly meeting today
- Note available in CDS: <u>http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1307560?ln=en</u>
 - List of authors to be updated soon (sorry, mea culpa)
- Our deadlines next...

Last call for EPS

- June 23rd: final "analysis update"
 - Assumes ~ 7-10 days to run full analysis
 - Data taken up to June 18th available with GRL (recorded L=1 fb⁻¹);
- Jul 5th: Drafts submitted to EdBoard
 - Early drafts should be circulated to the EdBoard earlier; very similar to PLHC
 - Final drafts on Jul 8th to PC;
- Jul 9th: last circulation of Papers/Notes
 - Run again the full analysis to include the data after June 26th, at least for benchmark analyses? (technical stop on June 29thth, for ~10 days)
- Jul 15th: last approval meetings
 - Present the circulated results and the ones obtained updating the analysis
- Jul 18th: conclude sign-offs of Papers/CONF Notes
 - Assumes 1 week for EdBoard and two sign-offs from PC/PubComm/Mngt
 - − $18 \rightarrow 20$: 3 days contingency
- Jul 21st: EPS Conference
- This particular schedule can be adopted only for a few Papers and/or Notes (searches or more in general luminosity sensitive)
- Expect a "hot period" during next two weeks fully dominated by CONF/Paper approval meetings

Schedule for Setting Limits



Combination meeting: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=144353</u>

Inputs need to be:

- Available today (28th June) to have **limits** for INT note approval by Ed.board and Higgs WG on 30th
- On 30th June approval and **final decision** on whether to include in SM Higgs combination
- Frozen by 4th July for Higgs approval on the 8th July
- Interpolated inputs ready right after Higgs WH approval for input to ATLAS SM Higgs combination
- ATLAS approval on 15th July

JVF-fixed D3PDs

- Still need analysis cross check! cut-flow comparison between different analyses
- SMWZ D3PDs were produced by Haifeng with the bugfix for the Jet Vertex Fraction bug
 - Contain data from run 178044 (22 March 2011) to run 183021 (2 June)
 - Total (data+MC) is 2.7 TB
- Listed in <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/Main/PrivateD3PDWithJVFFix</u>
- Transferred to UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK
 - Should be accessible to everyone; let me know in case of problems
- More samples were produced and now being transferred to grid
- Thanks to Jonas for helping with remaining samples!

ReqID	DataPattern	DestinationSite	Status	NumDatasets All/Subscribed	SummarySize (GB)
34217	user.haifeng.mc10_7TeV.107*.AlpgenJimmyWenuNp*_pt2	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	subscribed	10 / 10	223.3026
34216	user.haifeng.mc10_7TeV.10*.PythiaB_cc*X.merge.NTUP	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	transfer	2/2	72.9053
34215	user.haifeng.mc10_7TeV.10*.PythiaB_bb*X.merge.NTUP	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	subscribed	3/3	214.9836
34212	user.haifeng.mc10_7TeV.105200.T1_McAtNIo_Jimmy.mer	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	transfer	3/3	57.4581
34211	user.haifeng.mc10_7TeV.116590.WH115Inubb_pythia.me	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	subscribed	1/1	2.7188
34210	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.001*.physics_Muons.merge	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	transfer	67 / 67	946.4857
34152	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.001*.physics_Egamma.merge	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	transfer	61 / 61	1125.8446
34151	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.001*.physics_Egamma.merge	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	transfer	4 / 4	51.8227
34150	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.00178109.physics_Egamma.m	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	subscribed	1/1	18.7077
34148	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.00178047.physics_Egamma.m	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	done	1/1	0.4138
34147	user.haifeng.data11_7TeV.00178044.physics_Egamma.m	UKI-LT2-RHUL_LOCALGROUPDISK	done	1/1	15.2501
	Ricardo Gonçalo HSG5 H->bb Weekly	Meeting - 21/6/2011			

- Submitted abstract to Lepton Photon 2011 in Mumbai, starting August 22nd
 - ATLAS

abstracts:<u>https://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=143052</u>

• If accepted, will be presented by Koloina Randrianarivony who volunteered for this

H->bb searches with the ATLAS detector at the LHC

The H -> bb channel is extremely important for the observation of a Higgs boson signal at the LHC. In the Standard Model, this channel would provide a significant contribution to the Higgs boson search in the low mass region, where this decay mode constitutes the dominant Higgs decay channel. Due to the enormous jet production cross-section at the LHC, the search must target channels where the Higgs boson is produced in association with a weak boson, a pair of top quarks, or jets separated by a rapidity gap. It also requires complex techniques to reconstruct the signal and separate it from an overwhelmingly large background. We present the status of Higgs searches in the H->bb channel currently being performed within ATLAS.

Other issues...

- PAT group has a vision...
 - See Karsten Koeneke's talk in ATLAS Week

What do we want to achieve?

Enable physics analysis in a consistent and efficient manner

For that to be possible, we need to promote a baseline analysis model

- As much as possible, every (class of) analysis should start with an Athena-based analysis that results in a dedicated, very specific and small DAOD/D3PD
 - Possibly through intermediate DAODs
 - Lower wall-clock time to iterate on the DAOD/D3PD
 - Possible to store everything locally
- Provide a fast and efficient way to read in the final DAOD/D3PD

Ricardo Gonçalo

Karsten Köneke June 21st 2011

2/13

Backup

WH/ZH Note: Missing Ingredients

- Moving to MC10b: done
- b tagging:
 - Need advanced tagger for increased background rejection
 - Efficiency scale factors almost done
 - Calibration & fake rate: preliminary on week of 20th June - will re-do analysis with final numbers
 - IP3D+SV1, 60% efficiency working point
- Jet Vertex Fraction:
 - Fix exists but applicable only to AODbased analyses – i.e. only one analysis in our group
 - D3PDs including the bug fix exist for part of the 2011 run – being transferred to Grid site
 - Validation?


- Editorial board:
 - Richard Bateley (chair)
 - Alex Read
 - Emmanuel Lemonier
 - Niels van Eldik
 - Good 1st meeting with Ed.Board
 - QCD background (incl. bb, cc):
 - Almost there
 - One feature to be understood in antitrack isolation QCD background in electron channel
 - Watch this space!
- Systematics:
 - First estimates done dominated by btagging uncertainty (around 30%)
- SM Higgs combination:
 - Need to produce inputs for SM Higgs combination

LHC actual versus design parameters

	design	present	comment
Beam energy	7 TeV	3.5 TeV	½ design
transv. norm. emittance	3.75 μm	2.9 μm	¾ design!
beta*	0.55 m	1.5 m	3x design
IP beam size	16.7 μm	34 µ m	2x design
bunch intensity	1.15x10 ¹¹	1.25x10 ¹¹	higher than design
luminosity / bunch	3.6x10 ³⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.1x10 ³⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	only factor 3 away (x4 from energy!)
# bunches	2808	1092	approaching ½ design
bunch spacing	25 ns	50 ns	
beam current	0.582 A	0.236 A	close to ½ design
rms bunch length	7.55 cm	≥8.7 cm	
crossing angle	285 µrad	240 µ rad	
"Piwinski angle"	0.64	≥0.31	
luminosity	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.2x10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	>10% design

Do we need a JVF cut?


- In principle yes!...
- Need to use cut N_{jets} = 2 to suppress tt background; use N_{jets} = 3 as tt control region
- So must suppress spurious jets from pileup...



Do we need a JVF cut?

- In fact, not using the Jet Vertex Fraction seems to have a significant effect on Njets
- But a small effect after all cuts...

	data	tt MC
No JVF cut	303	200
JVF > 0.75	300	185



WH/ZH Note: Outlook

- Skeleton draft of INT note should be available now...
- Then a couple of weeks to finish details of QCD BG determination and interact with Editorial Board
 - Expect some changes to cuts etc during this
- Dataset frozen on 22 June (I think)
- Preliminary b-tagging calibrations around same time
- Aim for Higgs approval at end of June
- Last iteration with final b-tagging calibrations on...
- Circulate note to ATLAS for CONF approval in early July for approval in time for EPS

Ricardo Gonçalo